

Can International Law Effectively Stop Global Drug Trafficking?

Author: Tanaya Manaktala

Independent Research, New York

ABSTRACT

Drug trafficking is one of the biggest challenges facing the world today. It affects millions of people and has serious repercussions for public health and social, economic, and political stability globally. Because drug networks operate transnationally, no single country can solve the problem working in isolation. In order to combat drug trafficking, countries have created international laws, treaties, and agreements that aim to control the production, transportation, and distribution of illegal drugs. However, despite these efforts, the global drug trade continues to adapt and expand. This raises an important question: “Can international law effectively stop global drug trafficking, or are stronger and more coordinated approaches needed to address this growing global problem?”

The research uses a qualitative case study approach and analyses four examples from different parts of the world - drug cartels and cross-border trafficking in Mexico, the cocaine trade in Colombia, opium production in Afghanistan, and the fentanyl crisis in the United States. These specific case studies were selected because they highlight different aspects of the global drug trafficking problem. Additionally, they show the challenges that countries face when trying to combat illicit drug trade. The research found that international law has helped countries work together, strengthen border controls, and take effective action against criminal groups involved in the drug trade. However, the case studies also show that laws alone cannot completely stop drug trafficking. Meaningful progress will require stronger cooperation between countries as well as efforts to address the underlying social, economic, and political conditions that allow the illegal drug trade to continue.

Keywords: International Drug Trafficking, International Law, Transnational Organized Crime, Drug Control Policy, Cross-Border Cooperation, Illicit Drug Trade

INTRODUCTION

Global drug trafficking has become one of the most widespread and profitable forms of organized crime in the world today. Several criminal organizations actively produce, transport, and distribute illegal drugs across continents and countries. According to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), the illegal drug trade is a multibillion-dollar industry generating significant income for organized criminal groups operating across the world (UNODC, 2025). Illegal drug trafficking causes detrimental social, economic, and political challenges for communities and governments worldwide.

Of particular concern is the rapid rate at which the volume of both drug use and drug trade continue to grow. According to the UNODC, the number of drug users worldwide jumped from approximately 243 million in 2013 to 316 million in 2023, representing an increase of approximately 30% over a decade (UNODC, 2025). Simultaneously, the global production of cocaine touched a record high of more than 3,700 tons, with regions such as North America, Western and Central Europe, and South America being the largest cocaine markets (UNODC, 2025). Together, these trends highlight the rapid expansion of the international drug market in certain areas of the world.

The growing illegal drug trade has serious and far-reaching socio-political consequences. Not only do drugs adversely affect the direct consumer, their trade and distribution contribute to addiction and public health issues. Apart from health issues, there are also other grave consequences like violence, corruption, money laundering, political instability, and threats to national security (UNODC, 2025; Thakur, 2025).

Organized crime often consists of manipulation. Criminal organizations involved in the drug trade often make use of illegal tactics like bribery, intimidation, and complex money laundering practices to protect their interests and avoid any punitive action by law enforcement agencies (Thakur, 2025). The growing use of synthetic drugs, particularly opioids, like fentanyl has made the situation even more alarming. Opioids can be produced cheaply and transported in highly concentrated forms. This allows traffickers to move large numbers of doses in relatively small shipments as compared to traditional drugs, thereby reducing the chances of detection by authorities (UNODC, 2025; Thakur, 2025).

As drug trafficking has evolved into a major global issue, involving criminal drug syndicates that operate across borders, no single country can address the problem alone. Given the scale and

complexity of the issue, international anti-drug efforts involving cooperation between various countries is crucial. Over the past several decades, countries have entered into a series of international treaties aimed at controlling the production, distribution, and trafficking of illegal drugs. Major examples include the 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, the 1971 Convention on Psychotropic Substances, and the 1988 United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances. The 1988 Convention emphasized the importance and need for international cooperation and coordinated action between countries to combat drug trafficking (United Nations, 1988). Even today, the United Nations continues to play a pivotal role in combating international drug trafficking. This is done through its agency, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), which works with various governments and global agencies to identify new challenges in drug trafficking, support law enforcement agencies, and promote cooperation amongst various countries against the trafficking of drugs.

Despite drug trafficking being a global threat, studies continue to focus on specific countries or regions or on particular issues like trafficking routes, organized crime, or synthetic drugs. There is limited research that examines the problem from a broader international perspective by comparing multiple regions to understand the overall effectiveness of international efforts. More research is also needed to understand why drug trafficking continues despite decades of international treaties and cooperation (UNODC, 2025).

The Central Research Question for this paper is: Can international law and cooperation effectively combat global drug trafficking? The Thesis Statement for the study is: Although international law has strengthened global cooperation against drug trafficking, corruption, weak enforcement, political instability, and organized criminal networks pose major obstacles to the overall effectiveness.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 International Law and Drug Control

A treaty by definition is a formal agreement or contract between two or more sovereign states, such as an alliance or trade arrangement. Specifically in the area of drug control, international treaties bind countries to mutually agreed rules and encourage them to cooperate with each other against the production, distribution, and trafficking of illicit drugs (UNODC, 1988). Some

prominent examples of anti-drug treaties include, the 1961 Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs (as amended by the 1972 Protocol), the 1971 Convention of Psychotropic Substances, and most importantly the 1988 UN Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [UNODC], 1988).

The 1961 convention which currently has 154 members, set up a global system for controlling narcotic drugs while allowing their use for medical and scientific objectives (UNODC, 1961).

The 1971 Convention, which currently has 184 parties, further extended these controls to include psychotropic substances and stated that countries party to the convention were “determined to prevent and combat abuse of such substances and the illicit traffic to which it gives rise”

(UNODC, 1971). The 1988 United Nations Convention Against Illicit Traffic In Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances currently has 192 signatories (UNODC, 1988) and played a pivotal role in the fight against global drug trafficking. The Convention recognized drug trafficking as an international crime that requires collaborative action by impacted countries across land, sea and air. Furthermore, it set up a legal framework to criminalize illegal drug trafficking, confiscate assets belonging to the involved criminal gangs, strengthen extradition laws, control chemicals used to manufacture drugs, and improve intelligence sharing and joint investigations (UNODC, 1988).

Even today, the United Nations remains pivotal in the fight against illegal drugs through the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). This UN agency fosters international cooperation and helps governments improve their investigation and persecution systems for drug related crimes and better train and equip their law enforcement agencies to combat drug trafficking (UNODC, 2025). Despite these treaties and conventions, there are many hurdles to enforcing international drug trafficking laws. Major obstacles include differences in laws of various countries, corruption, inadequate funds and other resources, political instability, and weak institutional support. International treaties can only be effective if countries enforce them strongly while cooperating with each other (International Drug Policy Consortium [IDPC], 2025; UNODC, 2025).

2.2 Organized Crime and Drug Cartels

Behind much of the global illicit drug trade are powerful drug cartels that collaborate with highly organized criminal networks to facilitate the production, transportation, and distribution of illegal drugs across various countries of the world. These cartels can wield immense political and

financial influence and often operate across various countries and continents (UNODC, 2025). Both the scale and impact of their operations have grown significantly in recent years. In the modern day, traffickers are entering new markets in Asia and Africa, beyond the established markets in Europe and the Americas (UNODC, 2025). For example, cocaine production showed a massive increase of 34% from the previous year to reach 3708 tonnes in 2023. At the same time, the number of global cocaine users increased from 17 million to 25 million between 2013 and 2023 (UNODC, 2025).

Drug trafficking cartels make use of multiple methods to further their operations. While some are large organizations with various individuals having clearly defined roles, others are smaller groups working together, with each handling different aspects of the operation. The entire operation includes producing drugs, moving them across borders, distributing them to users in various markets, and finally managing the money earned from sales. A notable feature is that these organizations are constantly changing their strategies to avoid being caught by law enforcement agencies. In addition to changing strategies, they keep altering their methods of communication, as well as trafficking routes, and use innovative ways of concealing drugs (UNODC, 2025; Thakur, 2025).

Violence, corruption, and political influence are often used to shield these operations. Criminal groups may attack rivals and law enforcement officers as well as activists and opponents. This drug-related violence has now spread beyond traditional drug-producing regions. A prominent example of this is the shift from a region like South America to Western Europe. In Western Europe, organized drug-related criminal groups from the western Balkan region have become very influential in the cocaine market (UNODC, 2025). Drug organizations can use money to bribe law officials from enforcement and regulatory authorities and also to gain political influence. Thus, they can end up weakening government institutions and causing instability particularly in countries which already have compromised systems and processes (UNODC, 2025).

Money laundering poses another important challenge. Due to drug trafficking being one of the most profitable forms of organized crime, it involves substantial illegal income. The source of this income needs to be concealed from the authorities. Oftentimes, profits may be given a legitimate front by moving them through a complex maze of companies, assets and financial transactions, making it difficult for authorities to trace them (UNODC, n.d.).

2.3 Border Control and International Cooperation

Due to illicit drug trafficking being an international issue, one of the most important security measures is effective border control. A country that has heavily prioritized and invested in strict border security systems against drug trafficking, is the United States. Border control in the United States is done through the United States Coast Guard (USCG) which deploys cutters, boats, and aircrafts in the Western Hemisphere to control drug smuggling into the country. Strong international relationships allow the USCG to conduct interdiction operations far beyond U.S. borders, particularly across the Western Hemisphere that encompasses both North and South America (U.S. Department of State, 2024).

International relations and collaboration is important to combat the illicit drug trade. It includes measures like intelligence sharing, joint planning, and coordinated law enforcement actions between countries. In 2023, the United States launched the Global Coalition to Address Synthetic Drug Threats, which the U.S. Department of State describes as a time-bound effort to accelerate the global response to deadly synthetic drug threats. As of January 1, 2024, 144 countries are participating. The coalition includes representatives from ministries of foreign affairs, health, justice, public security, interior, and trade, among others (U.S. Department of State, 2024).

Moreover, the coalition focuses on three main areas: 1) preventing the illicit manufacture and trafficking of synthetic drugs; 2) detecting emerging drug threats and use patterns; and 3) promoting public health interventions and services to prevent and reduce drug use, overdose, and other related harms (U.S. Department of State, 2024). Furthermore, it is important to note that participating countries can introduce new policies, practices, and legislation, cooperate with each other, engage with the private sector and civil society, and develop national action plans (U.S. Department of State, 2024).

However, the Global Coalition to Address Synthetic Drug Threats is not the only large scale organization working to address drug trafficking. There are many other forums for regional cooperation. For example, during the North American Leaders Summit in January 2023, the heads of state of The United States, Canada, and Mexico announced the formation of the Trilateral Fentanyl Committee to address the growing threat of synthetic opioids, particularly fentanyl. The three countries announced close collaboration in four areas: 1) current and emerging synthetic drugs; 2) drug demand and public health; 3) drug trafficking modes and methods and 4) illicit finance (U.S. Department of State, 2024).

Since the three countries share borders, customs inspections, surveillance technology, and the sharing of information become crucial to control drug trafficking. The U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP), which is a branch of the Department of Homeland Security, focuses on closely monitoring goods, people, and vehicles that enter the United States. CBP also shares information about incidents involving the manufacture and trafficking of illegal drugs through international systems such as the Project Ion Incident Communication System (IONICS) (U.S. Department of State, 2024). However, it is important to emphasize that large international borders remain difficult to fully monitor because of their sheer geographic size, the enormous existing legitimate trade volume, and the ability of traffickers to keep changing their trafficking routes, transportation, and concealment methods (European Union Drugs Agency [EUDA], 2025).

2.4 Economic and Political Factors

The growth of illegal drug production and trafficking is heavily fueled by economic and political conditions. In poverty-ridden rural areas, farmers often revert to growing illicit crops such as coca or opium. Such crops provide a more regular and reliable income stream than legal crops. In some areas, drug cartels or their associates become guaranteed buyers for illicit crops, while the same support might not exist for legal crops. This in turn, makes them harder to sell or transport. As a result, poverty and lack of alternative sources of reliable income can make some communities dependent on the illegal drug economy for their survival (UNODC, 2025). UNODC has also highlighted this issue and emphasized the importance of providing alternative livelihood opportunities as a measure to reduce illicit crop cultivation (UNODC, 2025).

Drug trafficking thrives in areas that are impacted by political instability. Lack of strong government control, political or armed conflict, and displacement can create instability that criminal organizations often exploit to expand illegal activities like cultivating illicit crops and developing new trafficking routes. These conditions can also make it more difficult for governments and law enforcement agencies to control drug trafficking (UNODC, 2025). Corruption is another major challenge. The illegal drug trade is very lucrative and criminal organizations often use profits to exert political influence, bribe officials, or weaken law enforcement efforts. Under unstable conditions where government institutions are already under pressure, corruption can make investigation and enforcement even more difficult (UNODC, 2025). At the same time, drug production and trafficking continue due to a strong global demand for illegal drugs. In 2023, around 9% of people aged 15–64 in the countries covered by the

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) data had used an illicit drug during the previous year (OECD, 2025). This strong global demand creates a highly profitable market for criminal organizations, which incentivizes illegal drug production and trafficking.

3. METHODOLOGY

This research paper uses a qualitative research methodology based on comparative case study analysis. The purpose of this method is to examine how international law and international cooperation are used to combat global drug trafficking in different regions of the world. Instead of using experiments or surveys, the research analyzes existing academic studies, government reports, international organization publications, and policy papers related to drug trafficking and international law.

The research focuses on four case studies: Mexico, Colombia, Afghanistan, and the United States. These four countries were selected due to each one of them representing a different aspect of global drug trafficking. Mexico demonstrates cartel violence and cross-border trafficking. Colombia represents cocaine production and anti-cartel operations supported by international cooperation. Afghanistan shows the connection between political instability, opium production, and armed groups such as the Taliban. The United States case study focuses on the fentanyl crisis and the role of international supply chains in synthetic drug trafficking.

Sources for the research include reports from the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), academic journal articles, government publications, law review articles, and international policy studies. The paper compares the effectiveness of treaties, border security systems, multinational operations, and law enforcement cooperation across the selected case studies. The study also examines major limitations to international law enforcement, including corruption, weak governance, political instability, economic inequality, and the adaptability of criminal organizations. By comparing multiple countries and trafficking systems, the research aims to identify common challenges, major patterns, and possible improvements in global anti-drug efforts.

4. CASE STUDIES

4.1 Mexico - Drug Cartels and Cross-Border Trafficking

Background and Context

Mexico has become one of the main hubs of international drug trafficking due to its long border with the United States. Over the years, powerful drug cartels have expanded their operations by producing and transporting illegal drugs such as cocaine, methamphetamine, heroin, and fentanyl into the U.S. The large demand for these drugs has allowed cartels to earn huge profits, making them stronger and more organized. As their influence has grown, Mexico has experienced increasing violence, corruption, and challenges to public security (UNODC, 2022; U.S. Department of State, 2024). In 2023 alone, Mexico recorded more than 30,500 homicides with organized crime being a major contributor (Global Peace Index, 2024).

Since both countries share a vast border, Mexico-based illicit drug trafficking directly impacts the United States. Cocaine produced in Colombia is trafficked through Mexico into the United States while precursor chemicals originating in China also find their way into Mexico where they are used to manufacture synthetic drugs such as fentanyl, which are eventually smuggled into the United States. These international links show that Mexican drug cartels operate beyond borders and cannot be treated as a purely domestic issue (UNODC, 2022; U.S. Department of State, 2024).

International Cooperation

Mexico and the United States have worked closely together to combat cross border drug trafficking. Their cooperation includes stronger border security, intelligence sharing, extradition of criminals, and joint operations against drug cartels (U.S. Department of State, 2024). The increasing trade of synthetic drugs, particularly fentanyl, has made the need for cooperation even stronger. It is to be noted that fentanyl seizures along the U.S-Mexico border increased by approximately 900% between 2019 and 2023 (Global Peace Index, 2024).

International agencies, such as the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), also support these efforts by helping governments track criminal networks and stop the movement of illegal drugs. As mentioned in the literature review, the United States, Mexico and Canada formed the Trilateral Fentanyl Committee in 2023 to support coordinated efforts against synthetic drugs, public health, trafficking methods, and illicit finance (U.S. Department of State,

2024). These partnerships have strengthened law enforcement and improved coordination between the law enforcement agencies of the two countries (U.S. Department of State, 2024).

Key Findings

The case shows that although governments have arrested many cartel members and seized large amounts of illegal drugs, trafficking continues to be a rapidly growing market. Drug cartels constantly change their routes and methods to avoid law enforcement, making them difficult to stop. Additionally, when leaders of large drug cartels are arrested or removed, these cartels can break into small factions, each having their own leader. This observation is supported by the number of criminal organizations involved in deadly conflicts. In Mexico, they increased from only four in 2007 to twenty five in 2022 (Global Peace Index, 2024). Prieto-Curiel et al. (2023) suggest that reducing recruitment of new cartel members is necessary for bringing down cartel violence in the long term. Another important challenge is corruption, which significantly weakens law enforcement.

Significance

This case study shows that arresting criminals and increasing border security alone cannot completely solve drug trafficking. While international cooperation has improved intelligence sharing, extraditions, and drug seizures, organized criminal groups continue to adapt their operations in order to stay ahead of law enforcement agencies. The case also shows that arresting a cartel leader or targeting specific groups does not solve the larger problem as new leaders and groups take their place. Therefore, governments need to address core issues such as corruption and cartel recruitment while continuing to work together. Overall, the Mexico case demonstrates that international cooperation is essential, but long-term progress depends on combining law enforcement with broader social and institutional reforms.

4.2 Colombia - Cocaine Trade and Anti-Cartel Operations

Background and Context

Colombia, historically, has been the largest producer of cocaine and coca leaf in the world; the country is one of the main hubs of the global cocaine trade. In the 1980s and 1990s, major cocaine trafficking groups like the Medellín and Cali cartels controlled the production and trafficking of cocaine worth billions of dollars to North America and Europe. These cartels gained a lot of economic and political power, contributing to widespread violence, corruption, and instability throughout the country (UNODC, 2024).

Although these two large cartels were eventually weakened and dismantled, illegal coca cultivation in Columbia continues to rise. This rise was fueled by the growth of smaller criminal networks and armed groups like the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC) dissident groups and the National Liberation Army (ELN). These groups were involved in internal armed conflict and organized crime and the drug trade was heavily intertwined with their activities. Ultimately they resorted to producing and trafficking cocaine to fund their operations (UNODC, 2024; International Crisis Group, 2023).

The increase in the scale of cocaine production in Columbia continues to be a major global concern. In 2023, the area under coca cultivation in Colombia increased by around 10% from 230,000 hectares to an unprecedented 253,000 hectares. Potential cocaine production saw an even sharper increase, rising by approximately 53% from 1,738 metric tons in 2022 to about 2,664 metric tons in 2023 (UNODC, 2025). Colombia's rising production was a major contributor to global cocaine production reaching a record 3,708 tons in 2023 (UNODC, 2025; Reuters, 2025).

International Cooperation

In the year 2000, Colombia signed a comprehensive anti-drug trafficking and security pact with the United States known as Plan Colombia. The program included billions of dollars in assistance from the United States to support Columbia's security forces, intelligence sharing, law enforcement training, judicial reform and economic development initiatives designed to reduce coca production and weaken criminal groups (U.S. Department of State, 2023). Between 2000 and 2018, the United States provided more than \$10 billion in assistance to Colombia. This made Plan Colombia one of the largest international efforts to combat drug trafficking (Congressional Research Service [CRS], 2025). Another major initiative has been the eradication of coca cultivation through manual and aerial spraying of herbicides and promotion of alternate crop cultivation and other livelihoods.

However, poverty and limited access to legal economic opportunities have proven to be a significant challenge. International cooperation also included strengthening extradition agreements, improving laws against money laundering, setting up financial investigation systems, and increasing intelligence sharing between law enforcement agencies to help break up trafficking networks (UNODC, 2024). Additionally, international organizations have also

supported rural development initiatives that promote farming of legal crops instead of coca and diversifying economic opportunities in the affected areas.

Key Findings

The Colombian case shows how international cooperation played a crucial role against drug trafficking. In this case, a number of key cartel leaders were jailed or killed. In turn, the cocaine output dropped at certain times (U.S. Department of State, 2023). Cooperation with the United States strengthened Columbia's security forces, initiated judicial reform, and improved intelligence sharing between the two countries (U.S. Department of State, 2023). However, these gains were short-lived. In some areas, coca cultivation re-emerged. Additionally, criminal networks also expanded coca cultivation and cocaine production into new areas, segmented into smaller trafficking networks, and developed new smuggling routes. Another notable feature was the use of women as drug couriers to transport cocaine across borders. As per the UNODC, the women working as drug couriers or 'mules' came from impoverished backgrounds and were socially and economically vulnerable to recruitment by drug trafficking groups (UNODC, 2022).

The recent figures support the scale of this problem. Despite decades of eradication efforts, seizures and international cooperation, coca cultivation reached a record 253,000 hectares in 2023 and potential cocaine production increased by about 53%, from 1,738 metric tons in 2022 to 2,664 metric tons in 2023 (UNODC, 2025). This growth was also accompanied by seizures of record quantities of cocaine by the authorities (UNODC, 2025). One reason for the massive spike in cocoa production is that some rural communities are entirely dependent on coca cultivation, as it provides them with reliable buyers and steady income. Therefore, destroying coca crops without providing viable alternative livelihoods may simply cause production to re-emerge or relocate to another region (UNODC, 2025). These findings suggest strategies like crop eradication, drug seizures, and strict law enforcement have a limited impact if they are not accompanied by improvements in socio-economic conditions (UNODC, 2024; International Crisis Group, 2023).

Significance

The Colombia case study reflects both the successes and limitations of international efforts to fight global organized drug related crimes. The cooperation under Plan Colombia helped strengthen Colombia's security institutions, improve intelligence sharing, and disrupt major trafficking organizations. However, the case also shows that organized criminal networks are

highly adaptable and keep adjusting their activities in response to stricter law enforcement measures. The rise in coca cultivation and cocaine production despite decades of international efforts and controlling the drug trade cannot be left to law enforcement agencies alone (UNODC, 2025). They need to be supported by socioeconomic investments including rural development, effective support agencies, and alternative livelihoods for farmers.

4.3 Afghanistan - Opium Production and Taliban Influence

Background and Context

For many years, Afghanistan had the distinction of not only being the world's biggest producer of illicit opium, but also being the largest global supplier of opium used for heroin production. In Afghanistan, opium production was largely concentrated in rural areas where farming represented a major source of livelihood. Growing poppy became an important source of income for many farmers, as they could get better and more consistent returns from poppy than from legal crops. This dependency on growing poppy, as opposed to legal crops, was reinforced by several factors. These factors included prolonged conflict, weak governance, and high levels of poverty. Ultimately, leading the illegal drug economy becoming an important part of rural livelihoods (UNODC, 2025).

Political and security problems in Afghanistan have also been closely associated with the drug trade. Armed groups, especially the Taliban, have earned money from the taxation of poppy cultivation, drug production, and trafficking. The close relationship between organized crime, armed groups, and political instability meant that the drug trade provided financial resources. In turn, this sustained insurgent activities and prolonged conflict in the country (UNODC, 2022). A major change occurred in 2021 after the Taliban returned to power. In April 2022, they banned poppy cultivation. Following the ban, opium poppy cultivation fell drastically from approximately 233,000 hectares in 2022 to 10,800 hectares in 2023, a decline of about 95%. Opium production also fell by approximately 95%, to around 333 tons in 2023 (Reuters, 2024).

International Cooperation

Since 2001, Afghanistan has been the focus of extensive international efforts to combat the opium trade. These efforts involve the United Nations, the United States, NATO countries, and neighboring states. The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) supported programs that aimed to reduce opium cultivation through alternative livelihood initiatives, technical assistance, and monitoring of illicit crop production (UNODC, 2025). Additionally,

international assistance also helped the Afghan government target drug trafficking networks, strengthen border security, and improve law enforcement institutions (UNODC, 2025).

Opium eradication campaigns aimed to reduce poppy cultivation. Regional cooperation with neighboring countries, including Iran and Central Asian states, focused on preventing cross-border drug trafficking through improved customs controls, intelligence sharing, and joint enforcement operations (UNODC, 2025). Together, these initiatives represented a coordinated international effort to reduce opium production and trafficking.

Key Findings

The Afghanistan case study demonstrates that international counter-narcotics programs had only partial and often short-term success. The government's capacity to effectively enforce anti-drug policies was challenged by political instability, corruption, weak state institutions, and most importantly, ongoing armed conflict. As mentioned above in *International Cooperation*, eradication campaigns reduced poppy cultivation in some areas, but many farmers returned to poppy cultivation because legal farming did not provide sufficient or reliable income (UNODC, 2025).

However, the Taliban's 2022 ban produced a much more dramatic reduction. Poppy cultivation fell by approximately 95% between 2022 and 2023. Cultivation increased again by about 19% in 2024, reaching 12,800 hectares, but remained far below the level recorded before the ban. Additionally, opium production also increased from approximately 333 tons in 2023 to 433 tons in 2024, although this was still around 93% below 2022 levels (UNODC, 2024; Reuters, 2024). At the same time, the sharp decline in poppy cultivation resulted in the major loss of an important source of income for rural communities that depended on the crop (Reuters, 2024). This highlights one of the major challenges of drug-control policies: reducing illicit cultivation without providing farmers with viable alternative livelihoods can create severe economic hardship (UNODC, 2025).

Significance

The Afghanistan case highlights the close link between political instability, organized crime, and illicit drug cultivation. This case study shows the value of international cooperation for strengthening border security, intelligence sharing, and law enforcement. Furthermore, it also demonstrates the difficulties of achieving long-term success in a country affected by conflict, poverty, and weak institutions.

Most importantly, the dramatic decline following the Taliban ban shows how a strictly enforced nationwide ban can significantly reduce illicit drug cultivation, at least in the short term (UNODC, 2025).

However, it also shows that socio-economic factors that encourage illicit cultivation need to be addressed as part of counter-narcotics efforts (UNODC, 2025). Afghanistan therefore demonstrates how political instability and economic dependence on illicit crops can create major challenges for international efforts to fight transnational drug trafficking.

4.4 United States - Fentanyl Crisis and International Supply Chains

Background and Context

The United States has been experiencing one of the worst drug overdose crises in its history. This is due to the rapid rise of synthetic opioids, particularly fentanyl. Originally developed as a prescription pain reliever, fentanyl is a synthetic opioid that is approximately 50 to 100 times more potent than morphine (NIDA, 2024). Illicitly manufactured fentanyl has contributed to a large number of overdose deaths. Fentanyl is particularly dangerous because it may be present with other illegal drugs or added to counterfeit pills, oftentimes without users knowing that fentanyl is present (NIDA, 2024).

Synthetic opioids, primarily fentanyl, have accounted for a large proportion of drug overdose deaths in the United States. In 2023, 72,776 deaths involved synthetic opioids other than methadone. This number fell significantly to 47,735 deaths in 2024, but still remained extremely high (CDC, 2025). The large number of deaths has made the fentanyl crisis both a national public health crisis and an international law enforcement challenge. The illicit production and distribution of fentanyl has become increasingly sophisticated. Now, it involves multiple countries as criminal organizations obtain precursor chemicals through international supply chains. These synthetic drugs are manufactured in laboratories and, unlike plant-based drugs such as opium and cocaine, do not require large areas of land for cultivation. It is important to note that these synthetic drugs can also be produced closer to the markets where they are eventually sold (UNODC, 2025). Ultimately making synthetic drugs easier and cheaper to produce and transport.

International Cooperation

The United States has strengthened international cooperation to combat fentanyl trafficking through partnerships with Mexico and China. The cooperation between the United States and

Mexico focuses on controlling criminal organizations involved in manufacturing and trafficking synthetic drugs into the US. The cooperation between China includes regulation and monitoring of precursor chemicals used in fentanyl production (U.S. Department of State, 2024).

International efforts also include enhanced customs inspections, intelligence sharing, financial investigations, sanctions against individuals and companies involved in illicit trafficking, and stronger anti-money laundering measures. International law also plays a crucial role in controlling the chemicals used to produce fentanyl. In 2022, three fentanyl precursor chemicals were added to Table I of the 1988 United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances. As a result of being added, countries that are parties to the Convention are required to add international controls to these chemicals (UNODC, 2022). As discussed earlier in the literature review, the United States, Mexico, and Canada also formed the Trilateral Fentanyl Committee in 2023. These three countries agreed to cooperate on synthetic drugs, drug demand and public health, trafficking methods, and illicit finance (U.S. Department of State, 2024). This cooperation is incredibly important because it is important to note that different stages of the fentanyl supply chain can take place in different countries.

Key Findings

The fentanyl crisis demonstrates how synthetic drug trafficking presents new challenges compared to traditional drug trafficking. Since fentanyl can be created in laboratories with the use of precursor chemicals, it allows criminal organizations to be less dependent on agricultural production. In turn, this allows them to relocate their manufacturing operations more easily. It is important to note that synthetic drugs can also be produced closer to their destination markets, reducing some of the costs and risks involved in transporting drugs over long distances (UNODC, 2025).

The international supply chains used to obtain precursor chemicals make comprehensive monitoring and regulation difficult. Despite increased law enforcement efforts, high profitability, small quantities required for trafficking, and strong consumer demand continue to be driving factors for illegal trade. Furthermore, criminal organizations have also shown adaptability by changing trafficking routes, modifying production methods, and exploiting multiple jurisdictions to avoid law enforcement (UNODC, 2025). However, recent figures show some improvement in the United States. Deaths involving synthetic opioids other than methadone fell from 72,776 in 2023 to 47,735 in 2024 (CDC, 2025). Despite this significant decline, the number of deaths

continues to remain very high, showing that fentanyl continues to be a major public health problem.

Significance

The United States case study shows how the rise of synthetic drugs, such as fentanyl, has changed the nature of international drug trafficking. Unlike traditional plant-based narcotics, synthetic opioids do not depend on agriculture for their production. This means that traditional counter measures such as crop eradication, which have been used in countries such as Colombia and Afghanistan, cannot be used to control fentanyl production.

Furthermore, the case highlights the need for continued international cooperation through intelligence sharing, regulation of precursor chemicals, customs enforcement, financial investigations, and coordinated legal frameworks. The case also demonstrates that law enforcement alone cannot completely address the fentanyl crisis. Factors such as reducing demand through public health measures, prevention, and treatment are also important for long-term success. Overall, the fentanyl crisis emphasizes that addressing modern synthetic drug trafficking requires coordinated international efforts rather than isolated national responses.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Common Patterns Across Case Studies

Overall, there were many common patterns observed in these case studies. An incredibly prominent theme was the operation methods adopted by the criminal cartels involved in the illegal drug trade. The first commonly observed pattern was how criminal organizations adapt and have the ability to overcome setbacks inflicted by law enforcement agencies. For example in Mexico, the arrest and elimination of major cartel leaders did not break the back of the drug trade. Instead, it caused larger cartels to break into smaller criminal groups involved in the same form of organized crime. Similarly in Columbia, stronger law enforcement prompted criminal groups to change cultivation areas and trafficking routes. In the United States, drug traffickers changed their supply chains, developed new trafficking routes to avoid being detected, and relocated their production areas. In all of these cases, the only major exception is Afghanistan. In Afghanistan, a nationwide ban imposed by the ruling Taliban, reduced poppy cultivation by around 95% between 2022 and 2023. However, the slight increase in production in 2024 signals that completely eliminating illegal poppy cultivation remained difficult. This ability of the drug

trade networks to adapt rapidly, despite being on such a large scale, makes the illicit drug trade difficult to monitor and control (UNODC, 2025).

Another commonality is the underlying socio-economic factors, specifically exploitation. Drug organizations often exploit communities characterized by poverty and lack of livelihood opportunities in order to draw people into various parts of the drug trade including cultivating illegal crops or working as drug couriers (UNODC, 2022). This was seen in Colombia and Afghanistan, where farmers returned to cultivating illegal crops like coca and poppy, as they provided more reliable and higher income than legal crops. Other notable factors include Political turmoil and weak government control. This was most evident in Afghanistan where years of political conflict and weak governments allowed opium trade to become entrenched into the rural economy and became a source of funding for armed groups. Similarly, in Columbia, decades of internal conflict allowed armed groups and criminal organizations to get involved in and expand coca cultivation and cocaine trafficking. In Mexico, the political and economic influence wielded by powerful drug cartels made efforts to control the illegal drug industry more difficult. All these cases show how drug trafficking remains a persistent problem which is difficult to control due to poverty, political instability, and weak law enforcement institutions (UNODC, 2025). Corruption is another important facilitator. The financial returns from the drug trade give drug trafficking organizations the money and influence to bribe and control officials and compromise enforcement efforts. While this financial issue exists in all the cases discussed, it was particularly visible in Columbia and Mexico where corruption has significantly weakened law enforcement efforts (UNODC, 2025).

At the same time, it is important to point out that the case studies show that international cooperation does have an impact on efforts to control illegal drug trafficking. Mexico and the United States have collaborated through intelligence sharing, extraditions, and joint law enforcement efforts (U.S. Department of State, 2024). Additionally, Colombia received international support including financial assistance, its security forces, intelligence operations, and measures to reduce coca cultivation. This was done through the United States. The United States provided more than \$10 billion in assistance to Colombia between 2000 and 2018 as a part of Plan Columbia and other related programs (Congressional Research Service, 2024). Furthermore, the fentanyl crisis in the United States has also led to cooperation between the United States and countries such as Mexico and China which are involved at various stages of

the fentanyl supply chain (U.S. Department of State, 2024). However, despite such efforts, these countries have not fully succeeded in stopping the illegal drug trade.

One major reason is the high profits which come from this trafficking. According to the UNODC, the illegal drug trade is a multibillion dollar industry. Hence, it generates hundreds of billions of dollars each year, making it extremely profitable for the criminal groups involved (UNODC, 2025). Even though cocaine seizures are at record levels, cocaine production is also simultaneously on the rise reaching a record 3,708 tonnes in 2023, which was 34% higher than in 2022 (UNODC, 2025). This shows that arrests, seizures, and international cooperation have disrupted rather than ended drug trafficking.

5.2 Strengths of International Law

International law provides common ground that allows various countries affected by illicit drug trade to work together. International treaties like the The 1988 United Nations Convention against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances, which encourages collaborative measures like information sharing, extradition, and joint measures against money laundering, will continue to play a pivotal (UNODC, 1988). This is because drug production and trafficking often involve multiple countries and the problem cannot be addressed by one country acting alone in isolation.

The efficacy of international treaties is seen in the case studies where countries like the United States, Mexico, and Colombia have worked together with some measure of success. International cooperation has resulted in arrests and extraditions of leaders of drug trafficking organizations, large drug seizures, and effective intelligence sharing. Furthermore, the 1988 UN Convention was followed over the years by newer initiatives, including the Global Coalition to Address Synthetic Drug Threats which had 144 countries as participants by January 2024, showing willingness across the globe to work together against this common threat (U.S. Department of State, 2024).

5.3 Limitations of International Law

Despite several successes of international law and cooperation against drug trafficking, there are numerous loopholes and limitations in the system which need to be addressed. One of the biggest problems that needs to be addressed is that the success of international treaties ultimately depends on how well they are implemented by individual countries. Countries differ greatly in

terms of available resources, political will and stability, socio-economic conditions, and the capabilities of their law enforcement agencies. As a result, while some countries may strongly enforce treaty provisions and international laws, the efforts of some others may be comparatively lacking. As seen in the cases of Afghanistan and Columbia, implementation becomes incredibly challenging in countries affected by political instability, conflict, or weak government institutions (UNODC, 2025).

Another loophole in the system is corruption. Corruption is a major obstacle to the implementation of international drug laws. The enormous profits generated by drug trafficking enable drug cartels to bribe officials and create problems in law enforcement. Even strong, controlled laws may become ineffective in the face of compromised law enforcement institutions (UNODC, 2025). As discussed earlier, another problem was the capability of drug related criminal organizations to overcome setbacks. As seen in the case studies, cartels are highly adaptable and can find new trafficking routes, reorganize themselves into smaller groups, relocate cultivation and production, and find new ways and couriers to move drugs across borders (UNODC, 2025). Border controls and drug seizures can temporarily disrupt trafficking as against totally eliminating it. This is particularly evident with synthetic drugs such as fentanyl, which can be produced in laboratories and trafficked in relatively small quantities (U.S. Department of State, 2024).

5.4 Ethical and Human Rights Concerns

Additionally, the case studies raise important ethical concerns and questions. The most prominent question is about which groups of people get most impacted when anti-drug laws and policies are strictly enforced. Is it the powerful drug organizations who control the trade and make profits, or the people who get sucked into the trade as cultivators and couriers, due to their socio-political vulnerabilities? As seen in the case studies of Colombia and Afghanistan, many farmers depend on coca or poppy cultivation because income from illicit crops is more reliable than legal alternatives. Furthermore, the ban or destruction of these crops may impact the livelihood of families who have limited sources of income (UNODC, 2025). The same concern applies to drug couriers. As mentioned earlier, women working as cocaine couriers or “mules” in Colombia, often come from extremely poor backgrounds which makes them vulnerable for recruitment into the drug trade (UNODC, 2022). Punishing such couriers without considering their compelling circumstances is morally debatable. Some aggressive anti-drug campaigns may

involve violence and use of police or military force against criminal drug cartels. Additionally, many civilians may get caught in this violent crossfire between government forces and drug organizations. This fact can be seen in Mexico where the use of military forces against drug trafficking and organized crime has been linked to serious human rights violations against civilians (Human Rights Watch, 2019). Authorities, therefore, need to balance the use of force against criminal organizations with the need to ensure safety of the civilian population. Moreover, drug users need to be viewed through a different lens than drug organizations. Strict enforcement of laws can lead to imprisonment for relatively minor offenses like drug consumption. This could in turn contribute to overcrowded prisons (Global Commission on Drug Policy, 2025). Drug dependence should be also viewed as a public health concern. Overall, drug users cannot be treated in the same way as those who produce and traffic drugs for profit. This concern raises the question of whether drug users should primarily be punished or provided with treatment and rehabilitation (Global Commission on Drug Policy, 2025).

6. CONCLUSION

Global drug trafficking continues to remain one of the most persistent and difficult forms of transnational organized crime. Global drug trafficking continues to be hard to contain because it operates across borders, is very profitable because of increasing global demand, and is controlled by powerful criminal networks that often wield strong political and economic influence. This paper examined the effectiveness of international law against drug trafficking by focusing on the specific cases of Mexico, Colombia, Afghanistan and the United States. The case studies show that although international law and cooperation have made an important difference, they have not been able to put an end to the illegal drug trade, which continues to flourish. Furthermore, it is important to highlight that international treaties have provided frameworks that enable countries to work together against drug trafficking by sharing intelligence, extraditing criminals, and coordinating law enforcement efforts. Ultimately, this collaboration which also includes financial assistance, has helped countries disrupt trafficking networks, seize large quantities of drugs, and implement stronger border controls. However, criminal organizations have also shown resilience by adapting to stricter law enforcement efforts. Such organizations have changed trafficking routes, relocated production, ventured into synthetic drugs, and come up with new and innovative ways of transporting drugs across borders. Overall, the effectiveness of International

laws and treaties is further compromised by political instability and weak law enforcement institutions and practices.

The case studies also show that law enforcement is only one aspect of countering the illegal drug trade. Stricter law enforcement needs to be supported by efforts to address the underlying economic and social conditions that push people and communities to become a part of illicit drug networks. Economic factors such as extreme poverty and lack of reliable livelihood opportunities can lead vulnerable people to cultivate illicit crops, work as drug couriers, or become involved in other parts of the illegal drug trade. Corruption in law enforcement is a major issue, and strong anti-corruption measures are needed for international law to be effective. At the same time, law enforcement agencies need to keep up with drug cartels, which are constantly finding new ways to produce and traffic drugs. Ultimately, this trade flourishes due to the strong global demand for these drugs. Strong and effective public health programs are needed to increase awareness about the harms caused by drug use and to rehabilitate existing drug users.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. (2025, May 14). *U.S. overdose deaths decrease almost 27% in 2024*. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/releases/20250514.html>
- European Union Drugs Agency. (2025). *European drug report 2025: Trends and developments*. <https://doi.org/10.2810/3504283>
- Global Commission on Drug Policy. (2024). *Beyond punishment: From criminal justice responses to drug policy reform*. https://globalcommissionondrugs.org/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/GCDP_Report2024_EN_forweb.pdf
- Human Rights Watch. (2019). *World report 2019: Mexico*. <https://www.hrw.org/world-report/2019/country-chapters/mexico>
- Institute for Economics & Peace. (2024). *Global peace index 2024: Measuring peace in a complex world*. <https://www.visionofhumanity.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/GPI-2024-web.pdf>
- International Crisis Group. (2023, February 24). *Protecting Colombia's most vulnerable on the road to "total peace" (Latin America Report No.*

98). https://www.crisisgroup.org/sites/default/files/2023-02/098-colombias-most-vulnerable_1.pdf

International Drug Policy Consortium. (2025, May 20). *Repairing the "machinery": Recommendations and expectations for the independent review of international drug policy commitments*. <https://idpc.net/publications/2025/05/repairing-the-machinery-recommendations-and-expectations-for-the-independent-review-of>

National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2024). *Fentanyl drug facts*.
<https://nida.nih.gov/research-topics/fentanyl>

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2025). *Health at a glance 2025: OECD indicators*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/8f9e3f98-en>

Prieto-Curiel, R., Campedelli, G. M., & Hope, A. (2023). Reducing cartel recruitment is the only way to lower violence in Mexico. *Science*, 381(6664), 1312-1316.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adh2888>

Reuters. (2024, November 6). Afghan opium cultivation bounces and shifts two years after ban, UN says. <https://www.reuters.com/markets/commodities/afghan-opium-cultivation-bounces-shifts-two-years-after-ban-un-says-2024-11-06/>

Reuters. (2025, June 26). Global cocaine boom keeps setting new records, UN report says. <https://www.reuters.com/world/americas/global-cocaine-boom-keeps-setting-new-records-un-report-says-2025-06-26/>

Seelke, C. R. (2025, May 30). *Colombia: Background and U.S. relations* (CRS Report No. R48287). Congressional Research Service.
<https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R48287>

Thakur, D., Dubey, N. P., & Singh, R. (2025). Interpretation of drug trafficking patterns and utility of forensic intelligence. *Forensic Sciences Research*, 10(4), owaf040.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/fsr/owaf040>

United Nations. (1988). *United Nations Convention against illicit traffic in narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances, 1988*. <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/treaties/illicit-trafficking.html>

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (1961). *Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs, 1961, as amended by the 1972 Protocol*. <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/treaties/single-convention.html>

- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (1971). *Convention on Psychotropic Substances, 1971*. <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/treaties/psychotropics.html>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2022a, April). *Three precursors of the most common synthesis routes used in illicit fentanyl manufacture now under international control*. <https://www.unodc.org/LSS/announcement/Details/b152bda5-5d71-4f7e-9d68-1bdd9af04a83>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2022b). *World drug report 2022*. United Nations. <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/wdr2022.html>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2024a). *Afghanistan drug insights: Volume 2 - 2024 opium production and rural development*. https://www.unodc.org/roca/uploads/documents/2024/Afghanistan_Drug_Insights/Afghanistan_Drug_Insights_V2.pdf
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2024b). *Colombia: Survey of territories affected by illicit crops 2023*. <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/crop-monitoring/index.html>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2025). *World drug report 2025*. United Nations. <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/world-drug-report-2025.html>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (n.d.). *Money-laundering and globalization*. Retrieved August 28, 2026, from <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/money-laundering/globalization.html>
- U.S. Department of State, Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs. (2023). *International narcotics control strategy report: Volume I - Drug and chemical control*. <https://2021-2025.state.gov/2023-international-narcotics-control-strategy-report/>
- U.S. Department of State, Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs. (2024). *International narcotics control strategy report: Volume I - Drug and chemical control*. <https://www.state.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/01/2024-INCSR-Vol-1-Drug-and-Chemical-Control-Accessible-Version.pdf>